

**From:** [ANDERSON Jim M](#)  
**To:** [Lori Cora/R10/USEPA/US@EPA](#)  
**Cc:** [Chip Humphrey/R10/USEPA/US@EPA](#); [Eric Blischke/R10/USEPA/US@EPA](#); [ANDERSON Jim M](#); [BURKHOLDER Kurt](#); [KEPLER Rick J](#)  
**Subject:** RE: Portland Harbor  
**Date:** 03/24/2008 09:23 AM

---

Lori,  
I thought it would be better for me to attach this link for you to review to ODF&W's web site re: T&E & sensitive species. Please call or reply with any questions.

<http://www.dfw.state.or.us/fish/species/>

Jim Anderson  
Manager, DEQ Portland Harbor Section  
ph: 503.229.6825  
fax: 503.229.6899  
cell: 971.563.1434

-----Original Message-----

From: Cora.Lori@epamail.epa.gov [mailto:Cora.Lori@epamail.epa.gov]  
Sent: Monday, March 24, 2008 9:11 AM  
To: ANDERSON Jim M  
Cc: Humphrey.Chip@epamail.epa.gov; Blischke.Eric@epamail.epa.gov; ANDERSON Jim M; BURKHOLDER Kurt; KEPLER Rick J  
Subject: RE: Portland Harbor

Kurt and Jim. Thanks for your quick input on this issue. Does Oregon have its own endangered species act? If so, how does the "sensitive species" designation fit into the scheme of listing?

Lori Houck Cora  
Assistant Regional Counsel  
Office of Regional Counsel  
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency  
Region 10, ORC-158  
1200 Sixth Avenue  
Seattle, WA 98101  
(206) 553-1115  
cora.lori@epa.gov

"ANDERSON Jim M"  
<ANDERSON.Jim@de  
q.state.or.us>

03/21/2008 11:38  
AM

To  
"BURKHOLDER Kurt"  
<Kurt.Burkholder@doj.state.or.us>  
, Lori Cora/R10/USEPA/US@EPA

cc  
"ANDERSON Jim M"  
<Jim.M.Anderson@state.or.us>,  
"KEPLER Rick J"  
<Rick.J.Kepler@state.or.us>, Chip  
Humphrey/R10/USEPA/US@EPA, Eric  
Blischke/R10/USEPA/US@EPA

Subject  
RE: Portland Harbor

Kurt,  
I agree with your call. I think state rules are clear that risk posed to T&E species should be evaluated on an individual basis, & all other eco receptors on a population basis. Lamprey are designed by ODF&W as a "sensitive species", but our Cleanup Rules do not include "sensitive species" as part of, or in addition to T&E species.

Jim Anderson  
Manager, DEQ Portland Harbor Section  
ph: 503.229.6825  
fax: 503.229.6899  
cell: 971.563.1434

-----Original Message-----

From: BURKHOLDER Kurt  
Sent: Thursday, March 20, 2008 3:45 PM  
To: Cora.Lori@epamail.epa.gov  
Cc: ANDERSON Jim M; KEPLER Rick J; Humphrey.Chip@epamail.epa.gov; Blischke.Eric@epamail.epa.gov  
Subject: Portland Harbor

Correct. Jim, please chime in if you have a different view.

-----Original Message-----

From: Cora.Lori@epamail.epa.gov [mailto:Cora.Lori@epamail.epa.gov]  
Sent: Thursday, March 20, 2008 3:35 PM  
To: Burkholder Kurt  
Cc: ANDERSON Jim M; KEPLER Rick J; Humphrey.Chip@epamail.epa.gov;  
Blischke.Eric@epamail.epa.gov  
Subject: Re: Portland Harbor

Thanks, Kurt for your quick review. So does that mean that the pacific lamprey is not a list or protected species under state law and that it is not a species that the state would assess at the individual level in an ecological risk assessment?

Lori Houck Cora  
Assistant Regional Counsel  
Office of Regional Counsel  
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency  
Region 10, ORC-158  
1200 Sixth Avenue  
Seattle, WA 98101  
(206) 553-1115  
cora.lori@epa.gov

"Burkholder Kurt" <Kurt.Burkholder @doj.state.or.us >  03/20/2008 03:17 PM	Lori Cora/R10/USEPA/US@EPA  "ANDERSON Jim M" <Jim.M.Anderson@state.or.us>, "KEPLER Rick J" <Rick.J.Kepler@state.or.us>  Subject Portland Harbor	To  cc
---	---	--------------

Lori, the memo is accurate regarding Oregon's rules.

-----Original Message-----

From: Cora.Lori@epamail.epa.gov [mailto:Cora.Lori@epamail.epa.gov]  
Sent: Thursday, March 20, 2008 3:16 PM  
To: christopherburford@ctuir.com; cunninghame@gorge.net;  
erin.madden@gmail.com; wbarquin@hk-law.com;  
lisa.bluelake@grandronde.org; raygivens@givenslaw.com;  
Katherine.pease@noaa.gov; Burkholder Kurt; KEPLER Rick J;  
jeremy\_buck@fws.gov  
Cc: Humphrey.Chip@epamail.epa.gov; Blischke.Eric@epamail.epa.gov  
Subject: Fw: Portland Harbor

Hello, LCT Folks. I am forwarding an email and memorandum send by Joan Snyder in which they argue that pacific lamprey should be not be assessed on the individual level in the ERA.

Kurt Burkholder: After you have time to look at this, I would like to talk about the lamprey's status under state law.

If any one has controverting legal analysis, please forward it on. My initial impression is that that EPA does not have a strong case for assessing pacific lamprey on an individual basis. Let me know if you would like to have a conference call.

Lori Houck Cora  
Assistant Regional Counsel  
Office of Regional Counsel  
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency  
Region 10, ORC-158  
1200 Sixth Avenue  
Seattle, WA 98101  
(206) 553-1115  
cora.lori@epa.gov

----- Forwarded by Lori Cora/R10/USEPA/US on 03/20/2008 03:07 PM -----

"Snyder, Joan" <JPSNYDER@stoel. com>  03/14/2008 03:24 PM	Lori Cora/R10/USEPA/US@EPA  "Agladstone@davisrothwell.com", "Albrich, Elaine" <ERALBRICH@stoel.com>, <andy@geomega.com>, <Chris.Reive@jordanschneider.com>, <ckp@aterwynne.com>,	To  cc
--	---	--------------

<david.ashton@portofportland.com>  
,  
<derrick.d.vallance@conocophillip  
s.com>,  
<gerald.george@pillsburylaw.com>,  
<jbenedic@chbh.com>,  
<jbetz@ci.portland.or.us>,  
<jkincaid@chbh.com>,  
<john.ashworth@bullivant.com>,  
<kims@tonkon.com>,  
<krista.koehl@portofportland.com>  
, <ldunn@riddellwilliams.com>,  
<Lparetchan@perkinscoie.com>,  
<max@tonkon.com>,  
<mwschneider@perkinscoie.com>,  
<nklinger@ci.portland.or.us>,  
<pdost@schwabe.com>, "Snyder,  
Joan" <JPSNYDER@stoel.com>,  
<SZiegler@chevron.com>,  
<tgold@sjzlaw.com>,  
<wearle@davisrothwell.com>,  
<wjjoyce@sjzlaw.com>

Subject

Portland Harbor

Hi Lori,

As I indicated in my voicemail message, the LWG Management Team wants to ensure that we do everything we can to prevent any log jams in the development of the RI/FS. One thing they have asked the LWG Legal Committee to do is to make sure that any legal issues are discussed with EPA as soon as they become apparent, so we can try to establish a common legal understanding of the issue. To make that happen, we asked the LWG Common Consultants to identify for us any technical issues they are dealing with that require resolution of some legal component.

The first one that has popped up is the issue of which species are assessed in the eco-risk assessment at the individual organism level. EPA's Problem Formulation document on the Baseline Ecological Risk Assessment identified three species, juvenile Chinook salmon, bald eagles and lamprey as "special status species" to be evaluated at the more conservative individual organism level. We agree that result may be appropriate for the Chinook and the bald eagles (at this time the bald eagle has been delisted federally, but still has "threatened" status under Oregon law). For the reasons discussed in the attached, it does not seem that would be the result under EPA guidance for lamprey, which previously was a candidate for ESA listing, but no longer is.

So, once you have a chance to look at this, could you please give me a call to talk about it? Thanks.

Joan P. Snyder  
Attorney, Environmental Practice Group  
STOEL RIVES LLP  
900 S.W. Fifth Avenue, Suite 2600  
Portland, OR 97204  
503-294-9657 (phone)  
503-220-2480 (fax)  
jpsnyder@stoel.com

(See attached file: Lamprey memo.PDF)

\*\*\*\*\*CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE\*\*\*\*\*

This e-mail may contain information that is privileged, confidential, or otherwise exempt from disclosure under applicable law. If you are not the addressee or it appears from the context or otherwise that you have received this e-mail in error, please advise me immediately by reply e-mail, keep the contents confidential, and immediately delete the message and any attachments from your system.

\*\*\*\*\*

\*\*\*\*\*CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE\*\*\*\*\*

This e-mail may contain information that is privileged, confidential, or otherwise exempt from disclosure under applicable law. If you are not the addressee or it appears from the context or otherwise that you have received this e-mail in error, please advise me immediately by reply e-mail, keep the contents confidential, and immediately delete the message and any attachments from your system.

\*\*\*\*\*